REMARKS

The Rejections Under 35 USC § 102

This rejection is most in view of the amendments in claims 1 and 31, i.e., where in each of these claims the following term is deleted "one of R^1 and R^2 forms with one of R^3 and R^4 an optionally substituted saturated or unsaturated aliphatic hydrocarbon-based chain."

This amendment renders moot the rejection, i.e., the compound of Brown et al. does not anticipate the presently claimed invention.

Support for this amendment can be found, for example, in the numerous species disclosed in the application. See, e.g., Table A starting on page 43.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Csaba Henter/

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908 Anthony J. Zelano, Reg. No. 27,969 Attorneys for Applicants

 $\label{eq:millen} \mbox{MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO \& BRANIGAN, P.C.}$

Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1 2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400 Arlington, VA 22201

Telephone: 703-243-6333 Facsimile: 703-243-6410

Attorney Docket No.:MERCK-3036

Date: November 29, 2007

K:\Merck\3000 - 3999\3036\Reply Nov 07.doc